Kering is committed to phasing out and eliminating all hazardous chemicals from its production. To achieve this goal Kering has developed a Chemical Management Framework, which applies to the ensemble of its Houses, suppliers, sub-suppliers, licensees, processes and products. The Framework goes beyond regulatory compliance, and includes specific guidelines for products, through:

- the MRSL (Manufacturing Restricted Substances List): The current Kering MRSL is the ZDHC MRSL V.2.0, with an additional ban on all PFCs (Per- and Poly-Fluorinated Chemicals) use;
- the PRSL (Product Restricted Substances List), which specifically lists the substances to be removed or the threshold not to be exceeded, applies the highest existing standards for the disposal of hazardous chemicals. To take into account the pace of technological development and progress in chemical research, the PRSL is updated every year.

Since 2014:
- the MRSL and its regular updates are communicated to all key suppliers and training sessions are organised every year to ensure full compliance with the list;
- the Product Compliance Advisory Department, at Kering level, advises the Houses on the adoption of the PRSL.

Kering requires suppliers and sub-suppliers to strictly comply with this framework, as well as all local and international regulations and industry standards including the European Union Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH); the US Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act (CPSIA); AFIRM; and the American Apparel & Footwear Association (AAFA) standards. Requirements are detailed in the Kering Sustainability Principles.

To enable customers to enjoy the products developed by the Houses safely, Kering has defined a set of quality control procedures that comply with the strictest international consumer health, safety and environmental standards and regulations, such as REACH, US CPSIA, China SAC GB Standards, Japan Industrial Standards (JIS), etc. In 2014, a dedicated structure, the Product Compliance Advisory Department, was created at Group level.

Aimed at pooling services, its purpose is to advise the Houses on product testing protocols to ensure that products comply with the local characteristics of each market. It makes considerable reference to the Product Restricted Substance List (PRSL), which specifically lists the substances to be removed or the threshold not to be exceeded and applies the highest existing standards for the disposal of hazardous chemicals. To take into account the pace of technological development and progress in chemical research, the PRSL is updated every year.

## MANAGEMENT OF CHEMICALS IN PRODUCTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SASB code</th>
<th>Accounting metric</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Reference in disclosed documents</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG-AA-250a.1</td>
<td>Discussion of processes to maintain compliance with restricted substances regulations</td>
<td>Discussion &amp; Analysis</td>
<td>2020 Universal Registration Document (URD) Chapter 3, §4.1.2; 4.2.4; 4.2.5; 4.2.6; 4.4.1</td>
<td>Kering is committed to phasing out and eliminating all hazardous chemicals from its production. To achieve this goal Kering has developed a Chemical Management Framework, which applies to the ensemble of its Houses, suppliers, sub-suppliers, licensees, processes and products. The Framework goes beyond regulatory compliance, and includes specific guidelines for products, through: - the MRSL (Manufacturing Restricted Substances List): The current Kering MRSL is the ZDHC MRSL V.2.0, with an additional ban on all PFCs (Per- and Poly-Fluorinated Chemicals) use; - the PRSL (Product Restricted Substances List), which specifically lists the substances to be removed or the threshold not to be exceeded, applies the highest existing standards for the disposal of hazardous chemicals. To take into account the pace of technological development and progress in chemical research, the PRSL is updated every year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG-AA-250a.2</td>
<td>Discussion of processes to assess and manage risks and/or hazards associated with chemicals in products</td>
<td>Discussion &amp; Analysis</td>
<td>2020 URD Chapter 3, §2.6; 4.4.3; 5.1.1</td>
<td>Kering Sustainability Principles, Kering MRSL, Kering PRSL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS IN THE SUPPLY CHAIN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SASB code</th>
<th>Accounting metric</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Reference in disclosed documents</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CG-AA-4430a.1</td>
<td>Percentage of (1) Tier 1 supplier facilities and (2) supplier facilities beyond Tier 1 in compliance with wastewater discharge permits and/or contractual agreement</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Kering Sustainability Principles, 2020 URD Chapter 3, §3.2.2.2, Kering Standards and Appendices</td>
<td>Among Kering Tier 1 suppliers, tanneries are the most significant activity for which water discharge and pollution need to be monitored. Nearly 100% (184 out of 190) of the tanneries working for Kering are located in Europe and apply the Kering Standards requirements in addition to complying with European and local regulations. All tanneries working for the Group are audited by Kering’s audit team before being allowed to work for the group (supplier activation procedure), which includes verification of the compliance with applicable environmental regulations on water discharge. Same auditing on environmental laws requirements apply for textile mills wastewater discharge. During the audit process of Tier 2 suppliers, environmental compliance is included in the audit checklist. Supplier compliance monitoring in 2020: 94.5% of suppliers audited were wholly or partially compliant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG-AA-4430a.2</td>
<td>Percentage of (1) Tier 1 supplier facilities and (2) supplier facilities</td>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>2020 URD Chapter 3, §4.2.4; 4.2.5.1; 4.4.4; 5.1.1</td>
<td>Kering is a committed member of the Sustainable Apparel Coalition. Considering the nature of its supply chain and its products, notably the relatively small size of its suppliers (average workforce below 40 employees), Kering does not consider that the Higg Index FEM is the most</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kering is committed to phasing out and eliminating all hazardous chemicals from its production. To achieve this goal Kering has developed a Chemical Management Framework, which applies to the ensemble of its Houses, suppliers, sub-suppliers, licensees, processes and products. The Framework goes beyond regulatory compliance, and includes specific guidelines for products, through:

- the MRSL (Manufacturing Restricted Substances List): The current Kering MRSL is the ZDHC MRSL V.2.0, with an additional ban on all PFCs (Per- and Poly-Fluorinated Chemicals) use;
- the PRSL (Product Restricted Substances List), which specifically lists the substances to be removed or the threshold not to be exceeded, applies the highest existing standards for the disposal of hazardous chemicals. To take into account the pace of technological development and progress in chemical research, the PRSL is updated every year.

Since 2014:
- the MRSL and its regular updates are communicated to all key suppliers and training sessions are organised every year to ensure full compliance with the list;
- the Product Compliance Advisory Department, at Kering level, advises the Houses on the adoption of the PRSL.

Kering requires suppliers and sub-suppliers to strictly comply with this framework, as well as all local and international regulations and industry standards including the European Union Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH); the US Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act (CPSIA); AFIRM; and the American Apparel & Footwear Association (AAFA) standards. Requirements are detailed in the Kering Sustainability Principles.

To enable customers to enjoy the products developed by the Houses safely, Kering has defined a set of quality control procedures that comply with the strictest international consumer health, safety and environmental standards and regulations, such as REACH, US CPSIA, China SAC GB Standards, Japan Industrial Standards (JIS), etc. In 2014, a dedicated structure, the Product Compliance Advisory Department, was created at Group level.

Aimed at pooling services, its purpose is to advise the Houses on product testing protocols to ensure that products comply with the local characteristics of each market. It makes considerable reference to the Product Restricted Substance List (PRSL), which specifically lists the substances to be removed or the threshold not to be exceeded and applies the highest existing standards for the disposal of hazardous chemicals. To take into account the pace of technological development and progress in chemical research, the PRSL is updated every year.
Labor Condition in the Supply Chain

| CG-AA-4430b.1 | Percentage of (1) Tier 1 supplier facilities and (2) supplier facilities beyond Tier 1 that have been audited to a labor code of conduct | Quantitative | 2020 URD Chapter 3, §4.3.3 | Kering Standards 
Code of Ethics, including the Suppliers' Charter 
Kering Sustainability Principles | As of December 31, 2020, Kering's supplier base covers 3,912 suppliers, with the following breakdown:
- 22% direct suppliers (a supplier is deemed direct when it has a direct business relationship with the Houses rather than working via a subcontractor).
- 17% contractors (direct suppliers working for one or more Houses and which subcontract part of their production).
- 61% subcontractors (working for contractors and having no direct business relationship with the Houses).

Direct suppliers with or without subcontracting are T1 suppliers (39% in 2020). Subcontractors are T2 suppliers (61% in 2020). Within this portfolio of suppliers, 2,399 audits were conducted in 2020, breaking down as 1,102 comprehensive audits and 1,297 follow-up audits. Over the 2015–2019 period, 88% of suppliers were audited (an increase of 3% compared with the 2015–2019 period). Moreover, Kering has undertaken to audit all of its key suppliers every two years. It should be noted that "activation" audits for new suppliers are comprehensive audits, and that they therefore cover the entire scope of a comprehensive audit.

It is also important to note that the Code of Ethics, the Suppliers' Charter and Kering's Sustainability Principles are integrated into the suppliers' contractual framework.

| CG-AA-4430b.1 | (3) Percentage of total audits conducted by a third-party auditor | Quantitative | 2020 URD Chapter 3, §4.3.3 | See above. A total of 37% of the above-mentioned audits were carried out by Kering's Internal Audit team, and 63% by external auditors, with the Group audit protocol applied in the same way for all audits conducted.

| CG-AA-4430b.2 | Priority non-conformance rate and associated corrective action rate for suppliers' labor code of conduct audits | Quantitative | 2020 URD Chapter 3, §4.3.3 | The audits carried out by Kering's Internal Audit Team and external auditors revealed a rate of priority non-conformance of 1.9% or about 178 of the 9,391 anomalies revealed.

Robust corrective action plans were put together following the audits, wherever breaches of compliance, and particularly serious breaches, were identified, ensuring a corrective rate of 100%. Follow-up audits were then conducted to verify the resolution of the problem. The zero-tolerance breaches identified during the audits were dealt with immediately, in accordance with established rules and in coordination with the relevant Houses. To speed up the resolution of issues, the central team held more than 253 committee meetings with the Houses in 2020, which effectively resulted in the resolution of a large number of anomalies.

| CG-AA-4430b.3 | Description of the greatest (1) labor and (2) environmental, health, and safety risks in the supply chain | Discussion & Analysis | 2020 URD Chapter 3, §2.5.1 and 2.5.3 and 2020 URD Chapter 6, §2.3 | In 2020, Kering ran an internal risk assessment to identify and evaluate human rights risks in connection with the sourcing of certain key raw materials, factoring in supplier locations.

In line with the undertakings set out in its Code of Ethics, Kering's duty of care plan targets risks of severe impacts on human rights and fundamental freedoms, human health and safety, and the environment, with particular regard to the following:
- human rights and fundamental freedoms: child labor, forced labor, wages, working hours, discrimination, freedom of association and collective bargaining, prevention of corruption and influence peddling, rights of local populations, working conditions, especially for vulnerable populations such as migrants, working conditions for fashion models, harassment, and sourcing conditions for certain stones and minerals;
- health and safety: health and safety at work, consumers' health and safety;
- environment: climate change, air pollution, water consumption and pollution, animal welfare, damage to ecosystems and biodiversity.

Raw Materials Sourcing

| CG-AA-440a.1 | Description of environmental and social risks associated with sourcing priority raw materials | Discussion & Analysis | 2020 URD Chapter 3, §4.4.1 and 2020 URD Chapter 6, §2.3 | Kering Standards | A review of existing tools and processes was carried out to catalogue the means of control and mitigation used by the Company, thus producing a map of residual risks to human rights and fundamental freedoms, human health and safety, and the environment. Concerning the value chain in particular, Kering collected information for all raw materials used in its luxury product manufacturing processes. These raw materials were grouped into various categories according to type. Kering deliberately took into account an extended list, including all agricultural, mining and extraction resources. The risk map differentiates between the different types of risks arising
from raw material supplies, production and processing, and working conditions, factoring in the countries in which these operations take place.

1. Raw materials scarcity, quality and biodiversity: Unavailability of the raw materials necessary to manufacture the Group’s products, which must comply with the Group’s quality criteria and be obtained in accordance with the Group’s Standards. Kering works with suppliers and subcontractors across the supply chain to secure long-term access to these raw materials. The Group ensures that its suppliers and subcontractors comply with its standards. The Group has defined standards for the supply of all its key raw materials, published in January 2018 and updated in 2020. The standards focus on five areas: social impact, environmental impact, traceability, use of chemicals and animal welfare. They serve as a guide for assessing the compliance of raw materials suppliers and present two levels of expectations: minimum requirements and best practices to be achieved by 2025 at the latest, in line with the Group’s Sustainability strategy and its 2025 targets. The quality, safety and stability of the Group’s supplies of animal and plant-based raw materials depend on strict compliance with the standards in this regard, and first and foremost with the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES) for the trade of precious skins. They also depend on the preservation of well-balanced ecosystems, some of which have already been damaged.

2. Human rights and fundamental freedoms: Non-compliance with international standards or the Group’s standards in the area of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms.

As a sustainable, responsible Luxury group, Kering must identify and manage human rights-related risks in its sphere of influence (operations and supply chain) as quickly and firmly as possible. In addition, the Group has adopted a stringent control strategy in the area of human rights, which includes but is not limited to the social audits conducted each year across thousands of Group suppliers and service providers. In addition, a whistleblowing system is open to everyone working for the Group: employees and interns of Kering and its Houses, as well as external and temporary personnel working for external partners or service providers under contract with the Group.

Furthermore, to ensure best practices and choices of raw materials, Kering has measured and quantified its progress toward becoming a more sustainable Group through its Environmental Profit and Loss account (EP&L) since 2012. At the cornerstone of its environmental approach, it also serves as a management tool by which Kering lays out its roadmap for the coming years in terms of sourcing strategy and choice of materials. Kering has undertaken to reduce its EP&L intensity by 40% by 2025 compared with 2015.

Excessive requirements are detailed for each material, including and building on preferred third-party certifications (for instance GOTS for organic cotton or FSC). When there is no globally recognized label or certification covering all steps in the supply chain for a given material, for instance leather or cashmere, the Kering Standards specify tailored minimum requirements and best practices to ensure a responsible sourcing and manufacturing throughout our supply chain. For leather, for instance, Kering specifies the sourcing countries and preferred certifications for different steps within the supply chain.

Kering has pledged that 100% of the key raw materials used by the Group, and the production processes using these materials, will comply with the Kering Standards by 2025. To date, the compliance rate is 74%.